

U.S. SISTRICT COURT, EDINY.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case 1:95-md-01059-JG-CLP

Master File No.

BROOKLYN OFFICE

IN Re

MTC ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGIES

CV-93-0876 (JG)

SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION

CV-95-2499 (JG)

This Document Relates To:

MDL No. 1059

FRED KAYNE, et al. v. MTC ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., et al.

(PASTOSED) PARTIAL FINAL **JUDGMENT** 

On March 11, 2005, default was entered in favor of Plaintiffs Fred Kayne, et al. against Defendant GrandeTel Technologies, Inc. (formerly known as MTC Electronic Technologies Co. Ltd.). On March 23, 2005, Plaintiffs Fred Kayne, et al. filed a motion requesting that default be entered against Defendants Miko Leung, Sit Wa Leung and Alan Leung. On April 11, 2005 Plaintiffs Fred Kayne, et al. submitted documentary evidence in support of their damages submission as part of a request that a default judgment be entered on their RICO claim under 18 U.S.C. §1962(c) against Defendants GrandeTel Technologies, Inc., formerly known as MTC Electronic Technologies Co. Ltd., Miko Leung, Sit Wa Leung and Alan Leung.

The Court, having considered the documentary evidence submitted by Plaintiffs, orders that judgment be entered as follows:

Against Defendants GrandeTel Technologies, Inc. formerly known as MTC Electronic Technologies Co. Ltd., Miko Leung, Sit Wa Leung and Alan Leung, jointly and severally,

## in favor of the following Plaintiffs in the following amounts:

\$	1,183,553.72	trebled to	9	3,550,661.16
				, ,
\$	368,872.50	trebled to	\$	3 1,106,617.50
\$	248,141.38	trebled to	\$	744,424.14
\$	912,238.20	trebled to	\$	2,736,714.60
\$	670,917.35	trebled to	\$	2,012,752.05
\$	470,831.25	trebled to	\$	1,412,493.75
\$	86,367.90	trebled to	\$	
\$	120,341.50	trebled to	\$	
\$	65,134.65	trebled to	\$	
\$	45,942.59	trebled to	\$	-
\$	199,934.50	trebled to	\$	
\$	108,407.37	trebled to	\$	
\$	593,068.48	trebled to	\$	1,779,205.44
\$5	5,148,148.95	trebled to		15,444,446.85
\$			\$	937,657.68
\$			\$	2,819,666.31
\$	7,353.00	trebled to	\$	22,059.00
\$1	,111,200.51	trebled to	\$	3,333,601.53
\$	310,657.73	trebled to	\$	931,973.19
\$	460,712.49	trebled to	\$	1,382,137.47
\$	425,454.16	trebled to	\$	1,276,362.48
Dreiländer Beteiligung Objekt DLF 93/14-Walter Fink-KG:				
		trebled to	\$	4,144,017.75
Zwölfte Dreiländer Beteiligung DLF 92/12-Walter Fink-KG:				
	= -	4.11.4	_	07.2
\$	318,124.52	trebled to	\$	954,373.56
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 368,872.50 \$ 248,141.38 \$ 912,238.20 \$ 670,917.35 \$ 470,831.25 \$ 86,367.90 \$ 120,341.50 \$ 65,134.65 \$ 45,942.59 \$ 199,934.50 \$ 108,407.37 \$ 593,068.48 \$5,148,148.95 \$ 312,552.56 \$ 939,888.77 \$ 7,353.00 \$1,111,200.51 \$ 310,657.73 \$ 460,712.49 \$ 425,454.16 ct ct ct ct ct ct ct ct ct ct	\$ 248,141.38 trebled to \$ 912,238.20 trebled to \$ 670,917.35 trebled to \$ 470,831.25 trebled to \$ 86,367.90 trebled to \$ 120,341.50 trebled to \$ 65,134.65 trebled to \$ 45,942.59 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 593,068.48 trebled to \$ 593,068.48 trebled to \$ 312,552.56 trebled to \$ 312,552.56 trebled to \$ 319,888.77 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to \$ 460,712.49 trebled to \$ 425,454.16 trebled to  and \$ 425,454.16 trebled to  the KG: \$ 1,381,339.25 trebled to	\$ 368,872.50 trebled to \$ 248,141.38 trebled to \$ 912,238.20 trebled to \$ 670,917.35 trebled to \$ 470,831.25 trebled to \$ 86,367.90 trebled to \$ 120,341.50 trebled to \$ 65,134.65 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 199,934.50 trebled to \$ 312,552.56 trebled to \$ 312,552.56 trebled to \$ 312,552.56 trebled to \$ 313,0657.73 trebled to \$ 460,712.49 trebled to \$ 425,454.16 trebled to \$ 108,407.25 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to \$ 425,454.16 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to \$ 425,454.16 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to \$ 425,454.16 trebled to \$ 310,657.73 trebled to

Total:

\$46,467,549.99

Page 2 of 3

Additionally, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1964(c), Plaintiffs, who were all represented by the same attorneys, are awarded jointly and severally reasonable costs in the amount of \$2,383,717.85 and reasonable attorneys fees in the amount of \$12,035,855.25, for a total award of \$60,887,122.09. The amount is offset by partial settlements with other parties totalling \$23,325,000, for a net judgment of \$37,562,122.09.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 79(a), the clerk is ordered to enter this judgment on the civil docket forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

12-19, 2005

s/John Gleeson

JOHN GLEESON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE